INSTITUT DES PARCS NATIONAUX DU CONGO ET DU RWANDA

PUBLIÉ AVEC LE CONCOURS DE L'INSTITUT BELGE
POUR L'ENCOURAGEMENT DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE OUTRE-MER
(I.B.E.R.S.O.M.)

Exploration du Parc National de l'Upemba

MISSION G. F. DE WITTE

en collaboration avec

W. ADAM, A. JANSSENS, L. VAN MEEL et R. VERHEYEN (1946-1949).

FASCICULE 66

TICKS

ВУ

CARLETON M. CLIFFORD (Hamilton) and George ANASTOS (College Park)



BRUXELLES

1962

PARC NATIONAL DE L'UPEMBA. — MISSION G. F. DE WITTE

en collaboration avec

W. ADAM, A. JANSSENS, L. VAN MEEL et R. VERHEYEN (1946-1949)

Fascicule 66

TICKS (1)

BY

CARLETON M. CLIFFORD (Hamilton) (2) and George ANASTOS (College Park) (3)

INTRODUCTION

The ticks included in this report were sent to the Institute of Acarology, University of Maryland, for study by Dr. V. Van Straelen, President of the Institute of National Parks of the Congo. It is based on extensive collections made in 1946-1949 by the mission of Dr. G. F. DE WITTE to the National Park of Upemba which is located in the southeastern portion of the Congo.

In all, 28 species, comprising 7 genera, are recorded from Upemba Park (Table I). Of these, 7 have not previously been recorded from the Congo and they are marked with an asterisk in Table I. None of the species were new, therefore figures and descriptions were not included.

The major portion of this work gives information by species regarding the distribution, hosts and taxonomic status. Following this section a list of the species by host and more extensive information on the various localities, including altitude, is provided.

⁽¹) The portion of this project undertaken at the University of Maryland was supported by the Army Medical Research and Development Command, Washington, D.C., under Contract No. DA-49-007-MD-981.

⁽²⁾ U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Rocky Mountain Laboratory, Hamilton, Montana.

⁽³⁾ Department of Zoology, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland

The authorities for the names of hosts in this report are as follows: Reptiles, G. F. DE WITTE (1953); Birds, R. VERHEYEN (1953); and Mammals, S. Frechkop (1954).

For information on the biotic and climatic factors in the Upemba Park the reader is referred to Fasc. 1: Introduction by G. F. DE WITTE et al. (in preparation).

In some instances the identification of *Rhipicephalus* species proved to be extremely difficult, necessitating the study of material in other collections. The following abbreviations are used to designate these collections: BMNH, British Museum (Natural History); HH, Dr. HARRY HOOGSTRAAL (personal collection); FZ, Dr. FRITZ ZUMPT (personal collection); MCZ, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University; and RML, Rocky Mountain Laboratory.

TABLE I.

List of ticks of the Upemba Park.

Boophilus decoloratus (Koch, 1844). Amblyomma nuttalli Dönitz, 1909.

Amblyomma pomposum Dönitz, 1909.

Amblyomma tholloni Neumann, 1899. Aponomma exornatum (KOCH, 1844).

*Aponomma transversale (Lucas, 1845).

*Haemaphysalis hoodi WARBURTON and NUTTALL, 1909.

Haemaphysalis leachii (AUDOUIN, 1827).

Haemaphysalis muhsami Santos Dias, 1954.

Haemaphysalis parmata NEUMANN, 1905.

Ixodes aulacodi ARTHUR, 1956.

Ixodes cavipalpus NUTTALL and WARBURTON, 1908.

Ixodes dawesi ARTHUR, 1956.

*Ixodes nairobiensis Nuttall, 1916.

Ixodes pseudorasus ARTHUR and BURROW, 1957.

*Ixodes sp. nr. spinae Arthur, 1958

Rhipicentor bicornis NUTTALL and WARBURTON, 1908.

Rhipicephalus compositus Neumann, 1897.

Rhipicephalus longus NEUMANN, 1907.

*Rhipicephalus masseyi NUTTALL and WARBURTON, 1908.

*Rhipicephalus reichenowi ZUMPT, 1943.

Rhipicephalus sanguineus (Latreille, 1806).

Rhipicephalus simpsoni Nuttall, 1910.

Rhipicephalus simus Koch, 1844.

Rhipicephalus supertritus Neumann, 1907,

Rhipicephalus tricuspis Dönitz, 1906.

Rhipicephalus ziemanni Neumann, 1904.

*Rhipicephalus sp. nr. pravus Dönitz, 1920

The authors are indebted to the following people for examining certain critical specimens for placing collection at our disposal and the ward hospitality accorded during visits to their laboratories: Dr. Gertrud Theiler, Division of Veterinary Services, Onderstepoort, Republic of South Africa; Miss Jane Walker, East African Veterinary Research Organization, Kikuyu, Kenya; Dr. Harry Hoogstraal and Mr. Makram Kaiser, Namru No. 3, Cairo, Egypt; Mr. L. Pierquin, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Leopoldville, Congo; Dr. Fritz Zumpt, South African Institute for Medical Research, Johannesburg; Dr. P. L. G. Benoit, Royal Museum of Central Africa, Tervuren, Belgium; Dr. G. Owen Evans, British Museum (NH), London, England; Dr. Don Arthur, Department of Zoology, King's College, London, England; and Dr. H. Levi, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

We would also like to thank Mr. Glen M. Kohls of the Rocky Mountain Laboratory, Dr. Gertrud Theiler, Dr. Harry Hoogstraal and Miss Jane Walker for helpful criticism and for reviewing this manuscript.

Order ACARINA

Suborder IXODIDES

Family IXODIDAE.

Genus BOOPHILUS CURTIS, 1891.

Boophilus decoloratus (Koch).

Rhipicephalus decoloratus Koch, 1844, Arch. f. Naturgesch., 10, p. 239.

Host and Distribution:

HOST UNKNOWN.

No. 411-B, Lusinga, XII.1948, 2 Q

This tick is widely distributed throughout most of the African continent. Extensive information on this species is given by HOOGSTRAAL (1956).

Genus AMBLYOMMA Koch, 1844.

Amblyomma nuttalli Dönitz.

Amblyomma nuttalli Dönitz, 1909, Sitzungsber. Ges. Naturf. Fr. Berlin, 8, p. 469.

Hosts and Distribution:

REPTILE.

No. 432-B, Ganza, 3.VI.1949, 2 $\mbox{\rotatebox{\it d}}$, 1 $\mbox{\rotatebox{\it q}}$, no. 432-B, Ganza, 3.VI.1949, 2 $\mbox{\rotatebox{\it d}}$, 1 $\mbox{\rotatebox{\it q}}$, no. Kinixys belliana belliana Gray (No. 9476).

BIRDS.

Nos. 291-B, Kilwezi, 10.VIII.1948, 1 N, on Turdus olivaceus stormsi Hartlaub (No. 3390); 2185-A, Mabwe, 4.I.1949, 1 N, on Melocichla mentalis grandis (Bocage) (No. 4276).

Amblyomma nuttalli is widely distributed in Africa south of the Sahara. The chief hosts of the adults of this species are land tortoises, with occasional records from other reptiles and mammals. The immature stages

have been reported from tortoises, *Varanus*, lizards, hares and birds. The two nymphs recorded above suggest that birds may serve more frequently as hosts for the immature stages than had been indicated previously.

Extensive information on this species is furnished by Hoogstraal (1956) and Theiler (1959).

Amblyomma pomposum Dönitz.

Amblyomma pomposum Dönitz, 1909, Sitzungsber. Ges. Naturf. Fr. Berlin, 8, p. 466.

Hosts and Distribution:

MAMMALS.

Nos. 439-B, Ganza, 17.VI.1949, 1 &, on *Hippotragus niger* (HARRIS) (No. 1552); 200-B, Kateke, 2.XII.1947, 1 &, on *Hippotragus niger* (HARRIS) (No. 598).

HOST UNKNOWN.

Nos. 2506-A, Kabenga, 2-6.IV.1949, 15 \$\(\delta\), 13 \$\(\mathbf{Q}\); 30-B, Kamitungulu, 3.IV.1947, 1 \$\(\mathbf{Q}\); 1246-A, Lufwa, 16.I.1948, 1 \$\(\mathbf{Q}\); 127-B, Mabwe, 9.IX.1947, 5 \$\(\delta\).

According to Theiler (1959), « A. pomposum is widespread, but confined to the Rhodesian Highland vegetation, extending from Angola through Katanga of the Belgian Congo into Northern Rhodesia, dying out at the southern end of Lake Tanganyika ». This tick has been reported from a variety of wild and domestic animals.

The specimens recorded in this paper agree well with the criteria given by Theiler (1959) and Hoogstraal (1956) for the identification of this species.

Amblyomma tholloni NEUMANN.

Amblyomma tholloni Neumann, 1899, Mem. Soc. Zool. France, 12, p. 242. Host and Distribution:

MAMMAL.

No. 276-C, Kaswabilenga, 7.X.1947, 6 &, on Mungos mungo (GMELIN) (No. 470).

The distribution of *A. tholloni* is closely allied to that of its chief host, the African elephant, *Loxodonta africana*. The presence of this tick on *Mungos mungo* is unusual. Some other uncommon hosts are listed by Hoog-STRAAL (1956).

Amblyomma ssp.

Hosts and Distribution:

BIRDS.

Nos. 434-B, Ganza, 1.VI.1949, 2 N, on *Turdus olivaceus stormsi* Hartlaub (No. 5092); 124-A, Lusinga, 29.III.1947, 1 L, on *Sphenorhynchus abdimii* (Lichtenstein) (No. 104).

MAMMALS.

Nos. 445-B, Ganza, 23.VI.1949, 1 N, on *Hippotragus niger* (Harris) (No. 1562); 440-B, Ganza, 17.VI.1949, 1 N, 50 L, on *Tragelaphus scriptus* (Pallas) (No. 1554); 448-B, Ganza, 28.VI.1949, 2 N, on *Tragelaphus scriptus* (Pallas) (No. 1568); 218-B, Kaziba, 13.II.1948, 2 N, *Viverra civetta schwarzi* (Cabrera) (No. 728); 367-B, Mabwe, 15.I.1949, 47 L, on *Mungos mungo* (GMELIN) (No. 1340); 455-B, Mware, 16.VII.1949, 2 N, on *Potamochoerus porcus* (LINNÉ) (No. 1574).

REPTILE.

No. 435-B, Ganza, 28.V.1949, 11 N, on Varanus (Polydaedalus) niloticus niloticus (LINNÉ) (No. 9477).

At the present time the immature stages of several species of *Amblyomma* are unknown; therefore, it is not possible to give more than generic assignment in most cases. Lots 440-B, 435-B, 218-B, 434-B, 445-B, 455-B and 448-B resembled the nymphs of *Amblyomma tholloni* more closely than those of any of the other species whose immature stages are adequately known.

Genus APONOMMA NEUMANN, 1899.

Aponomma exornatum (Koch).

Amblyomma exornatum Koch, 1844, Arch. f. Naturgesch., 10, p. 231.

Hosts and Distribution:

REPTILES.

Nos. 441-B, Ganza, 12.VI.1949, 11 &, 4 N, on Varanus (Polydaedalus) niloticus niloticus (Linné) (No. 9527); 435-B, Ganza, 28.V.1949, 4 &, 2 \(\rho_1 \), 1 N, on Varanus (Polydaedalus) niloticus niloticus (Linné) (No. 9477); 437-B, Ganza, 6.VI.1949, 6 &, 1 \(\rho_1 \), on Varanus (Polydaedalus) niloticus niloticus (Linné) (No. 9514); 179-B, Kanonga, 13-27.XI.1947, 4 \(\rho_1 \), 4 \(\rho_1 \), 9 N, on Varanus (Polydaedalus) niloticus niloticus (Linné) (No. 1977); 303-B, Kilwezi, 4.IX.1948, 24 \(\rho_1 \), 37 \(\rho_1 \), 106 N, 5 L, on Varanus (Empagusia) cxanthematicus angolensis Schmidt (Nos. 6343-6344); 327-B, Mabwe, 21.XI.1948, 16 \(\rho_1 \), on Varanus (Empagusia) exanthematicus angolensis Schmidt (No. 6786); 346-B, Mabwe,

2.XII.1948, 23 & ,17 Q ,4 N, on Varanus (Empagusia) exanthematicus angolensis SCHMIDT (No. 7192); 350-B, Mabwe, 15.XII.1948, 10 & ,2 Q , on Varanus (Empagusia) exanthematicus angolensis SCHMIDT (No. 7460); 372-B, Mabwe, 23.I.1949, 2 & , on Varanus (Polydaedalus) niloticus niloticus (LINNÉ) (No. 8064); 121-B, Mabwe, 23.VIII.1947, 57 & ,22 Q ,123 N, 1 L, on reptile? (No. 1162).

A. exornatum is distributed throughout most of Africa and feeds primarily on lizards of the genus *Varanus*. However, this species may occasionally parasitize other animals (HOOGSTRAAL, 1956).

Aponomma transversale (Lucas).

Ixodes transversale Lucas, 1845, Ann. Soc. Ent. France, 3, p. 64.

This species is represented by a single collection: No. 454-B, Ganza, 6.VII.1949, 6 N, on *Hippotragus niger* (HARRIS) (No. 1572).

To our knowledge this represents the first report of *Ap. transversale* from the Congo. The only host previously reported for this species is the African python. Therefore, the finding of this reptile tick on a mammal can be considered at best accidental and requires confirmation.

Genus HAEMAPHYSALIS Koch, 1844.

Haemaphysalis hoodi Warburton and Nuttall.

Haemaphysalis hoodi Warburton and Nuttall, 1909, Parasitology, 2, p. 62.

Hosts and Distribution:

BIRDS.

Nos. 230-B, Kaziba, 9.II.1948, 1 &, on Monticola gularis angolensis Sousa (No. 2452); 221-B, Kaziba, 14.II.1948, 1 L, on Myrmecocichla arnotti leucolaema Fisher and Reichenow (No. 2391); 215-B, Kaziba, 9.II.1948, 1 &, on Turdus olivaceus bocagei (Cabanis) (No. 2331); 19.II.1948, 1 &, on Turdus olivaceus bocagei (Cabanis) (No. 2449); 99-B, Kenia, 17.VII.1947, 1 N, on Coliuspasser macrourus macrourus (Gmelin) (No. 993); 453-A, Mubale, 19.V.1947, 1 N, 1 L, on Anthus vaalensis marungensis Chapin (No. 526); 91-B, Gorges de la Pelenge, 20.VI.1947, 1 N, on Coliuspasser macrourus macrourus (Gmelin) (No. 785); 620-A, Gorges de la Pelenge, 17.VI.1947, 1 N, on Cisticola natalensis katanga Lynes (No. 791); 73-B, Gorges de la Pelenge, 10.VI.1947, 3 &, on Mirafra rufocinnamomea iwenarum White (No. 709); 72-B, Gorges de la Pelenge, 10.VI.1947, 1 N, on Oenanthe pileata livingstonii (Tristram) (No. 708); 92-B, Gorges de la Pelenge, 17.VI.1947, 1 N, on Textor xanthops xanthops (Hartlaub) (No. 785).

H. hoodi is widely distributed throughout tropical Africa and is exclusively a parasite of birds. The above specimens appear to be the first recorded from the Congo, although HOOGSTRAAL (*in litt.*) has also seen specimens from this country.

Haemaphysalis leachii (Audouin).

Ixodes leachii Audouin, 1827, in Savigny, Description de l'Egypte, 2° Ed., 22, Zool., p. 428.

Hosts and Distribution:

MAMMALS.

Nos. 255-B, Buye-Bala, 28.III.1948, 43 & , 4 Q, on Thos adustus (Sundevall) (No. 755); *1569-A, Kabwe, 5.V.1948, 54 & , 3 Q, on Viverra civetta schwarzi (Cabrera) (No. 834); 249-B, Kalungwe, 7.III.1948, 9 & , 1 Q, on Thos adustus (Sundevall) (No. 755); *218-B, Kaziba, 13.II.1948, 9 & , on Viverra civetta schwarzi (Cabrera) (No. 728); 42-B, Lusinga, 9.IV.1947, 35 & , 23 Q, on Leptailurus serval (Schreber) (No. 85); 36-B, Lusinga, 6.IV.1947, 15 & , 3 Q, on Thos adustus (Sundevall) (No. 79); 43-B, Lusinga, 10.IV.1947, 1 & , 1 Q, on Thos adustus (Sundevall) (No. 87); 50-B, Lusinga, 13.IV.1947, 36 & , 8 Q, on Thos adustus (Sundevall) (No. 87); 50-B, Lusinga, 13.IV.1947, 36 & , 0 Q, on Leptailurus serval (Schreber) (No. 89); 8-B, Mukana, 7.III.1947, 20 & , 9 Q, on Arvicanthis tenebrosus rhodesiae Saint-Léger (No. 47); 94-B, Mukana, 6.VII.1947, 6 & , on Leptailurus serval (Schreber) (No. 204); 97-B, Mukana, 8.VII.1947, 18 & , 2 Q, on Leptailurus serval (Schreber) (No. 209); Mukana, 22.I.1948, 12 & , on Leptailurus serval (Schreber) (No. 676); *355-B, Mabwe, 27.XII.1948, 77 & , 3 Q, on Steatomys pratensis Peters (No. 1285); *373-B, Mabwe, 25.I.1949, 9 & , on Viverra civetta schwarzi (Cabrera) (No. 1370).

HOST UNKNOWN.

Nos. 146-A, Lusinga, 1.IV.1947, 1 ♀; 1141-A, Lusinga, 9-11.XII.1947, 1 ♂.

H. leachii occurs throughout most of the Ethiopian faunal region. Adults are often numerous on large carnivores and are less frequently collected on smaller carnivores. Hoogstraal (1956, 1958) summarizes the available data on this species.

The systematic status of ticks in the *Haemaphysalis leachii* group, except for large specimens with well-developed spurs which are easily recognized as *H. leachii* is extremely confused at the present time. Santos Dias (1956a) and Hoogstraal (1956) reviewed the problem and gave their opinion as to the validity of the various species in this group. It is our opinion that until the necessary experimental rearing is completed to determine the extent of variation in the morphological features of each species or subspecies, the more conservative approach of Hoogstraal is preferable. The indiscriminate naming of species in this group can lead only to further confusion.

In this paper we recognize only two species in the *H. leachii* group and separate them according to the criteria given by Hoogstraal (1956). The larger ticks with well developed spurs and narrow scutal outlines are called *H. leachii* and the smaller ticks with less well developed spurs and a

^{*}See text.

comparatively wider scutal outline are called *H. muhsami*. In several instances both forms occurred on the same host and these lots are marked with an asterisk.

Generally we had little or no trouble placing the specimens in one or the other species, especially the typical large thin $H.\ leachii$. However, some of the lots we identified as $H.\ muhsami$ contained specimens that approached the lower limit of the size range allowed for $H.\ leachii$. Usually the scutum of these specimens is thinner and the palpal spurs are more well developed than in typical $H.\ muhsami$. This apparently is material that Santos Dias (1956) would identify as $H.\ ethiopica$. One such lot (373-B) was sent to Dr. Harry Hoogstraal and it was returned with the following information: "125 σ , 32 φ , $H.\ leachii$ subspp. Long, narrow males are leachii. Females and a few small males are muhsami. Many are intergrades ». This illustrates the difficulty in determining specimens in the $H.\ leachii$ group. Hoogstraal ($in\ litt$.) also cautions "Any leachii-like specimens from non-carnivore hosts are suspect and should be compared with other samples from the same kind of host in an effort to determine whether valid taxonomic criteria can be discerned ».

Haemaphysalis muhsami Santos Dias.

Haemaphysalis muhsami Santos Dias, 1954, Mem. Mus. Zool. Univ. Coimbra, 225, p. 1.

Hosts and Distribution:

BIRDS.

MAMMALS.

Nos. 65-B, Gorges de la Pelenge, 26.V.1947, 8 \(\rightarrow\$, on Cricetomys gambianus \)
WATERHOUSE (No. 143); *1569-A, Kabwe, 5.V.1948, 1 \(\rightarrow\$, on Viverra civetta schwarzi \)
(CABRERA) (No. 834); 396-B, Kanonga, 22.II.1949, 16 \(\rightarrow\$, 10 \(\rightarrow\$, on Genetta genetta hintoni \)
SCHWARZ (No. 1458); 408-B, Kalule-Nord, 3.III.1949, 5 \(\rightarrow\$, 5 \(\rightarrow\$, 0 \)
On Genetta genetta hintoni SCHWARZ (No. 1481); 219-B, Kaziba, 13.II.1948, 3 \(\rightarrow\$, on Genetta genetta hintoni SCHWARZ (No. 729); 234-B, Kaziba, 26.II.1948, 1 \(\rightarrow\$, on Orycteropus afer (Pallas) (No. 753); *218-B, Kaziba, 13.II.1948, 36 \(\rightarrow\$, 9 \(\rightarrow\$, on Mungos mungo (GMELIN) (No. 1041); 366-B, Mabwe, 14.I.1949, 14 \(\rightarrow\$, 9 \(\rightarrow\$, on Atilax paludinosus (G. Cuvier) (No. 1339); 329-B, Mabwe, 22.XI.1948, 7 \(\rightarrow\$, 3 \(\rightarrow\$, on Genetta genetta hintoni SCHWARZ (No. 1187); 330-B, Mabwe, 22.XI.1948, 29 \(\rightarrow\$, 4 \(\rightarrow\$, on Genetta genetta hintoni SCHWARZ (No. 1183); 334-B, Mabwe, 28.XI.1948, 23 \(\rightarrow\$, 4 \(\rightarrow\$, on Genetta genetta hintoni SCHWARZ (No. 1209); 335-B, Mabwe, 1.XII.1948, 19 \(\rightarrow\$, 5 \(\rightarrow\$, on Genetta genetta hintoni SCHWARZ (No. 1212); 336-B, Mabwe, 1.XII.1948, 19 \(\rightarrow\$, 5 \(\rightarrow\$, on Genetta genetta hintoni SCHWARZ (No. 1212); 340-B, Mabwe, 6.XII.1948, 6 \(\rightarrow\$, 1 \(\rightarrow\$, on Genetta genetta hintoni SCHWARZ (No. 1213); 340-B, Mabwe, 6.XII.1948, 6 \(\rightarrow\$, 1 \(\rightarrow\$, on Genetta genetta hintoni SCHWARZ (No. 1212); 345-B, Mabwe, 6.XII.1948, 6 \(\rightarrow\$, 1 \(\rightarrow\$, on Genetta genetta hintoni SCHWARZ (No. 1225); 345-B, Mabwe, 6.XII.1948, 6 \(\rightarrow\$, 1 \(\rightarrow\$, on Genetta genetta hintoni SCHWARZ (No. 1225); 345-B, Mabwe, 6.XII.1948, 6 \(\rightarrow\$, 1 \(\rightarrow\$, on Genetta genetta hintoni SCHWARZ (No. 1225); 345-B, Mabwe, 6.XII.1948, 6 \(\rightarrow\$, 1 \(\rightarrow\$, on Genetta genetta hintoni

10.XII.1948, 29 \$, 5 \$, on Genetta genetta hintoni Schwarz (No. 1230); 351-B, Mabwe, 19.XII.1948, 26 \$, on Genetta genetta hintoni Schwarz (No. 1255); 363-B, Mabwe, 10.I.1949, 5 \$, 2 \$, on Genetta genetta hintoni Schwarz (No. 1329); 378-B, Mabwe, 31.I.1949, 51 \$, 4 \$, on Genetta genetta hintoni Schwarz (No. 1382); 367-B, Mabwe, 15.I.1949, 2 \$, 1 N, on Mungos mungo (GMELIN) (No. 1340); 359-B, Mabwe, 3.I.1949, 15 \$, 10 \$, on Myonax cauui (A. SMITH) (No. 1303); *355-B, Mabwe, 27.XII.1948, 28 \$, 5 \$, on Steatomys pratensis Peters (No. 1285); *373-B, Mabwe, 25.I.1949, 114 \$, 32 \$, on Viverra civetta schwarzi (Cabrera) (No. 1370); 61-B, Mubale, 10.V.1947, 1 \$, on Genetta genetta hintoni Schwarz (No. 119).

H. muhsami occurs in all areas of the Ethiopian faunal region, including the mountains of Yemen in southwestern Arabia (Hoogstraal, 1956). Hoogstraal (supra cit.) says this species is especially common on small carnivores such as mongooses, genets, civets, and wild cats, a fact which is borne out in the above records.

The two records listed above from birds are of additional interest. Dr. HARRY HOOGSTRAAL examined both these lots and determined them as follows: No. 2305A as H. leachii/muhsami and No. 2348B as H. leachii muhsami. Further remarks on this species are given under H. leachii.

Haemaphysalis sp.

Host and Distribution:

MAMMAL.

No. 387-B, Masombwe, 6.VII.1948, 1 N, on $Petrodromus\ robustus$ O. Thomas (No. 976).

This specimen is either *H. leachii* or *H. muhsami*, but at the present time it is not possible to separate the nymphs of these two species.

Haemaphysalis parmata Neumann.

Haemaphysalis parmata Neumann, 1905, Arch. de Parasitologie, 9, p. 228. Host and Distribution:

MAMMALS.

Nos. 61-B, Mubale, 10.V.1947, 1 N, on Genetta genetta hintoni Schwarz (No. 119); 62-B, Mubale, 11.V.1947, 1 N, on Genetta genetta hintoni Schwarz (No. 118).

H. parmata is a rather common Central and West African tick whose chief hosts are antelopes and domestic animals (Hoogstraal, 1956). Hoogstraal (1954, 1956) also lists Genetta sp. as a host for the immature stages.

Genus IXODES LATREILLE, 1795.

Ixodes aulacodi ARTHUR.

Ixodes aulacodi Arthur, 1956, Rev. Zool. Bot. Afr., 54, p. 306.

Host and Distribution:

MAMMAL.

No. 218-B, Kaziba, 13.II.1948, 2 Q, on Viverra civetta schwarzi (CABRERA) (No. 728).

The only previous record of this species is the original holotype female collected from *Aulacodus swinderianus* at Congo da Lemba. The determination of these two females was verified by Dr. Don Arthur.

Ixodes cavipalpus Nuttall and Warburton.

Ixodes cavipalpus Nuttall and Warburton, 1908, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., 14, p. 394.

Host and Distribution:

MAMMAL.

No. 213-B, Kaziba, 7.II.1948, 1 Q, on Oreotragus oreotragus (ZIMMERMANN) (No. 703).

HOOGSTRAAL (1956) summarizes the distribution of this species in central, eastern and southern Africa. He indicates that this tick is probably more generally distributed than the meager records indicate.

The hosts reported for this species include a variety of domestic and wild animals.

Ixodes dawesi ARTHUR.

Ixodes dawesi Arthur, 1956, Rev. Zool. Bot. Afr., 54, p. 301.

Host and Distribution:

MAMMAL.

No. 315-B, Lusinga, 31.X.1948, 1 Q, on Potamogale velox Du Chaillu (No. 1162).

This unusual species was previously known only from the type lot collected on the same host at Inkongo, Kasai, Lusambo, Congo.

Identification of this species was verified by Dr. Don Arthur.

Ixodes nairobiensis NUTTALL.

Ixodes nairobiensis Nuttall, 1916, Parasitology, 8, p. 299.

Host and Distribution:

MAMMAL.

No. 34-B, Lusinga, 2.IV.1947, 1 Q, on Arvicanthis tenebrosus rhodesiae Saint-Léger (No. 59).

The *Ixodes nairobiensis* complex of species was recently reviewed by Arthur (1959). This species has been reported from eastern and southern Africa; however, this appears to be the first record from the Congo. The identification was verified by Dr. Don Arthur Hoogstraal (1956) and Arthur (*supra cit.*) list a variety of hosts for this species which include several kinds of rodents.

Ixodes pseudorasus ARTHUR and BURROW.

Ixodes pseudorasus ARTHUR and BURROW, 1957, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool., 116, p. 502.

Hosts and Distribution:

MAMMALS.

Nos. 65-B, Gorges de la Pelenge, 26.V.1947, 5 \(\rho\), on Cricetomys gambianus Waterhouse (No. 143); 66-B, Gorges de la Pelenge, 30.V.1947, 6 \(\rho\), on Cricetomys gambianus Waterhouse (No. 146); 67-B, Gorges de la Pelenge, 30.V.1947, 3 \(\rho\), on Cricetomys gambianus Waterhouse (No. 148); 68-B, Gorges de la Pelenge, 30.V.1947, 1 \(\rho\), 10 \(\rho\), on Cricetomys gambianus Waterhouse (No. 149); 441-A, Gorges de la Pelenge, 29.V.1947, 1 \(\rho\), 13 \(\rho\), on Cricetomys gambianus Waterhouse (No. 147); 1569-A, Kabwe, 5.V.1948, 2 \(\rho\), 4 \(\rho\), on Cricetomys gambianus Waterhouse (No. 834); 607-A, Kenia, 12.VII.1947, 1 \(\rho\), 4 \(\rho\), on Cricetomys gambianus Waterhouse (No. 225); 608-A, Kenia, 12.VII.1947, 6 \(\rho\), on Cricetomys gambianus Waterhouse (No. 224); 62-B, Mubale, 11.V.1947, 4 \(\rho\), 23 \(\rho\), 3 \(\rho\), on Genetta genetta hintoni Schwarz (No. 118); 61-B, Mubale, 10.V.1947, 1 \(\rho\), 19 \(\rho\), 9 \(\rho\).

The species of *Ixodes rasus* group, of which *I. pseudorasus* is a member, have been extensively reviewed by Arthur and Burrow (1957). All previous records for this group have to be considered in light of this revision. The material listed above was identified by use of the keys provided by Arthur and Burrow and samples have been verified by Dr. Arthur. The data presented in this revision indicate that *I. pseudorasus* is widely distributed in East, West and Central Africa and is found on a variety of wild animals ranging in size from antelopes to small rodents.

Ixodes sp. nr. spinae ARTHUR.

Ixodes spinae ARTHUR, 1958, Parasitology, 48, p. 61.

Hosts and Distribution:

BIRD.

No. 176-B, Kankunda, 11.XI.1947, 1 Q, on Anthus trivialis trivialis (LINNÉ) (No. 1865).

HOST UNKNOWN.

No. 2506-A, Kabenga, 2-6.IV.1949, 1 ♀.

The two specimens listed above, both of which are greatly engorged and in poor condition, were tentatively identified as *I. spinae* since they possess the following characters: a sharp spine on the dorsal surface of palpal article I; strong external spurs on each coxa; and closed anal grooves (difficult to see on one specimen).

The recording of this species from a bird requires confirmation since *I. spinae* was previously known only from 1 male and 3 female specimens collected on *Procavia capensis*, at Pietersburg, South Africa, and thus far ticks off *Procavia* species have proven to be very host specific.

Two other females in excellent condition, tentatively identified as this species from Garamba Park in the northeastern portion of the Congo, have been seen. One female (No. 1061) was collected from the fur of a rodent and the other (E. 3087) was found by digging up the nest of a rodent, « Tula ». Complete data on these specimens will be presented in a separate report on the Garamba Park.

Ixodes spp.

Hosts and Distribution:

BIRDS.

Nos. 511-A, Gorges de la Pelenge, 5.VI.1947, 1 N, on Cisticola rufilata ansorgei Neumann (No. 663); 1661-A, Kabwe, 5.V.1948, 2 L, on Alethe poliocephala ufipae Moreau (No. 2943); 227-B, Kaziba, 18.II.1948, 1 L, on Turdoides jardinei tanganjicae (Reichenow) (No. 2439); 240-B, Kenia, 18.III.1948, 1 L, on Anthus lineiventris Sundevall (No. 2686); 1406-A, Kenia, 9.III.1948, 1 L, on Anthus trivialis (Linné) (No. 2591); 248-B, Kenia, 11.III.1948, 3 L, on Cisticola chiniana fortis Lynes (No. 2616); 243-B, Kenia, 9.III.1948, 2 L, on Schoenicola brevitostris alexinae (Heuglin) (No. 2592); 380-A, Mubale, 13.V.1947, 1 L, on Cossypha bocagei Finsch and Harilaub (No. 465); 393-A, Mubale, 12.V.1947, 1 N, on Turdus olivaceus stormsi Harilaub (No. 457).

The immature stages of only a few African *Ixodes* species are described; therefore, it is impossible to give more than generic determination at this time.

Genus RHIPICENTOR NUTTALL and WARBURTON, 1908.

Rhipicentor bicornis Nuttall and Warburton.

Rhipicentor bicornis Nuttall and Warburton, 1908, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., 14, p. 399.

Hosts and Distribution:

MAMMALS.

Nos. 249-B, Kalungwe, 7.III.1948, 1 &, on *Thos adustus* (Sundevall) (No. 755); 218-B, Kaziba, 13.II.1948, 28 &, 14 Q, on *Viverra civetta schwarzi* (Cabrera) (No. 728).

These specimens agree completely with the original description and figures given by Nuttall and Warburton. This species is distributed in Central Africa and is found on both wild and domestic animals. Theller (1954) gives several other locality records for the Congo.

Genus RHIPICEPHALUS Koch, 1844.

Rhipicephalus compositus Neumann.

(Fig. 11.)

Rhipicephalus compositus Neumann, 1897, Mem. Soc. Zool. France, 10, p. 393.

Hosts and Distribution:

MAMMAL.

No. 218-B, Kaziba, 13.II.1948, 1 &, on Viverra civetta schwarzi (Cabrera) (No. 728).

This single male conforms well to the criteria given for this species by HOOGSTRAAL (1956). However, since only this single specimen was seen, and the host is unusual, the establishment of this species in the Upemba Park requires further confirmation. Further, due to the extreme variation that occurs among *Rhipicephalus* species, any identification based on a single specimen should be considered open to question.

Several single female specimens listed under Rhipicephalus spp. also resemble R. compositus (Nos. 224-B, 483, 1320-A). However, as noted in the next section, R. compositus females resemble those of a species we are tentatively calling R. pseudolongus. Therefore, we hesitated to place a specific determination on these specimens.

The distribution of R. compositus in the Congo is still not clearly defined. After reviewing this section of the manuscript, Dr. Gertrud Theiler commented that she has believed for a long time that the R. capensis which she recorded in the Congo (Theiler, 1954) needs to be restudied. She says « To me it is neither a true capensis nor does it quite tally with R. compositus. »

In this same connection we would like to add that we have examined several lots of ticks cited by Schoeners (1951) as $R.\ capensis$ from Ruanda-Urundi and found them to be $R.\ compositus$. Therefore, the situation in the Congo with regard the these two species is still open to question.

Rhipicephalus longus Neumann.

(Figs. 1, 2.)

Rhipicephalus longus Neumann, 1907, Ann. Trop. Med. Paras., 1, p. 117. Rhipicephalus falcatus Neumann, 1908, Notes Leyden Mus., 30, p. 77. Rhipicephalus confusus Santos Dias, 1956, Docum. Mozambique, 87, p. 6.

Hosts and Distribution:

BIRD.

No. 2004-A, Mabwe, 2.XII.1948, 1 \upphi , on $\it Himantopus\ himantopus\ himantopus\ (Linné)$ (No. 3989).

MAMMALS.

Nos. 157-B, Kaswabilenga, 25.X.1947, 56 &, 49 \(\), on Phacochoerus aethiopicus (Pallas) (No. 510); 175-B, Kaswabilenga, 31.XI.1947, 3 &, on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 527); 199-B, Kateke, 25.XI.1947, 169 &, 65 \(\), on Phacochoerus aethiopicus (Pallas) (No. 562); 258-B, Katongo, 14.IV.1948, 1 \(\), 1 \(\), on Orycteropus afer (Pallas) (No. 753); 223-B, Kaziba, 17.II.1948, 2 \(\), 3 \(\), on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 743); 224-B, 17.II.1948, 2 \(\), on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 742); 201-B, Kubaya, 27.X.1947, 125 \(\), 43 \(\), on Phacochoerus aethiopicus (Pallas) (No. 620); 50-B, Lusinga, 13.IV.-947, 2 \(\), on Thos adustus (Sundevall) (No. 89); 357-B, Mabwe, 29.XII.1948, 65 \(\), 24 \(\), on Bubalus caffer (Sparrmann) (No. 1289); 367-B, Mabwe, 15.I.1949, 1 \(\), on Mungos mungo (Gmelin) (No. 1340); 339-B, Mabwe, 3.XII.1948, 81 \(\), 3 \(\), on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 1227); 348-B, Mabwe, 7.XII.1948, 12 \(\), 2 \(\), on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 1228); 365-B, Mabwe, 14.I.1949, 152 \(\), 65 \(\), on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 1338).

HOST UNKNOWN.

Nos. 2506-A, Kabenga, 2-6.IV.1949, 1 \$, 2 \$; 1005-A, Kankunda, 16-19.XI.1947, 1 \$; 1313-A, Kaziba, 19.II.1948, 1 \$; 1986-A, Mabwe, 30.XI.1948, 1 \$; 2014-A, Mabwe, 6-8.XII.1948, 2 \$\rho\$; 2020-A, Mabwe, 9.XII.1948, 1 \$\rho\$; 2115-A, Mabwe, 20.XII.1948, 1 \$\rho\$, 2 \$\rho\$; 875-A, Piste de Lusinga, 24.X.1947, 3 \$\rho\$, 2 \$\rho\$.

The specimens identified above as R. longus are for the most part very similar to the type of R. longus and the cotypes of R. falcatus. Since there is a great deal of confusion regarding ticks of the genus Rhipicephalus whose males have sickle-shaped adamal shields, some description of the specimens identified under the name R. longus is necessary.

Female: The scutum has several large and medium-sized punctations on the shoulders and a shiny area but none along the edge of the lateral groove. About 7 or 8 large punctations are located along the lateral groove. These are extremely important in identifying this species. Even if the interstitial punctations are heavy, these larger ones can be recognized. The central area of scutum is covered with medium-sized punctations, the size and depth of which are subject to a great deal of variation in any given series. In some specimens which have punctations in the central area that are rather shallow, larger ones similar to those characteristic of *R. simus* and *R. senegalensis* can be seen.

The unmounted genital aperture is V-shaped (Fig. 1). The width of the V may vary somewhat, depending on the engorgement of the specimen. The area within the V is depressed. This depression becomes greater at the posterior end of the aperture.

The genital aperture of southern Congo specimens (Fig. 2) prepared as outlined by CLIFFORD and LEWERS (1960) is identical to that of the cotype female of *R. falcatus* (Fig. 3) and to that shown by Feldman-Muhsam (1956, fig. 4) for *R. longus* and by Tendeiro (1959, photos 11 and 12) for *R. confusus*. The opening appears roughly V-shaped and the flaps of the cup are long and quite thin. In examining the mounted genitalia of female ticks, it should be kept in mind that some variation is possible, both due to the method of preparation and to the condition of the specimen being examined. For example, extensive clearing of a specimen obscures the shape of the opening and the internal structure becomes more apparent.

Male: The dorsal surface of the scutum has several medium-to large-sized punctations on the shoulders and a few small ones along the margin of the scutum outside the lateral grooves. The lateral groove is deep and marked with several large punctations and a row of 5 or 6 of these structures continue on anterior and medial to the lateral groove. No matter how heavy the interstitial punctations become, they still do not obscure the larger deeper ones. This is extremely important in distinguishing this species from *R. pseudolongus* (discussed below) and from *R. compositus* when the adanal shields of the latter are reduced. The central area of the scutum is covered with medium-sized punctations and these tend to be a little deeper and closer together in the posterior region. In some specimens they are reduced in an area just inside the lateral grooves near the midlength of the scutum. In many of the southern Congo specimens larger *simus*-like punctations are interspersed with the moderate interstitial punctations. In a few

instances the interstitial punctations are so light that they closely resemble the situation noted in R. senegalensis. These lightly punctate specimens led us to believe during the early part of this work that R. longus and R. senegalensis might be the same species (1).

The figures given by Santos Dias (1956b) for the type of R. longus (p. 3, Fig. 1) and for the cotype male of R. falcatus (p. 5, Fig. 2) are good examples of what we consider heavily punctated R. longus. Note that the large simus-like punctations anterior and slightly medial to the lateral grooves still are apparent. An exemple of a more lightly punctate specimen is furnished by Santos Dias in the same paper under the name R. confusus (see discussion below).

On the ventral surface the adamal shields are sickle-shaped. These shields may be reduced in some of the less robust specimens. Three festoons are protruded in engorged specimens.

A species which has caused considerable confusion in the past few years is R. confusus Santos Dias, 1956. This species was described from material collected in Mozambique. After studying paratype specimens in the British Museum, reading the description, and examining his figures, we believe that R. confusus falls well within the range of variation we allow for R. longus. In any large series of R. longus, similar to the southern Congo specimens, there are individuals that will fit the description given by Santos Dias ($supra\ cit$.) for R. confusus. The figure given by Santos Dias (p. q. q) for q q0. q1959 gives figures of the genital aperture of q1959 gives figures of the genital aperture of q1959 gives figures of the genital aperture of q1959 gives figures of the one removed from the cotype female of q1959 gives figures of the one removed from the cotype female of q1959 gives gives given by q1950 gives figures of the one removed from the cotype female of q1959 gives gives given by q1950 gives figures as shown in Fig. 4 of Feldman-Muhsam's 1956 paper.

The distribution of *R. longus* includes parts of the Congo, Uganda, southern Tanganyika, Nyasaland, northern Rhodesia, and Mozambique. Further distributional data on this species in the Congo will be given in a future report.

R. longus has been reported on a large number of wild and domestic animals. The single male specimen listed above from *Himantopus* h. himantopus appears to be the first published record from a bird.

⁽¹⁾ In her review of this manuscript, Dr. Gertrud Theiler commented that she feels the findings presented here indicate that $R.\ longus$ is a synonym of $R.\ senegulensis$. She calls attention to the fact that the distribution picture will not be quite as confused as it appears at the moment if we accept her conclusion.

We fully realize that future work may show these two species to be synonymous; until more laboratory rearing can be accomplished and the immature stages studied, we have decided to adopt the more conservative approach and keep them separate.

Since there is so much confusion at the present time regarding the rhipicephalids whose males have sickle-shaped anal shields, we believe that some explanation of our concept of the other species with this feature is necessary. In addition to *R. longus* there are three other species to be considered, *R. simpsoni*, *R. senegalensis* and *R. pseudolongus*.

R. simpsoni is the most easily recognized species in this group and can be characterized as follows.

Females: The punctations on the scutum are similar to those found on *R. simus* and *R. senegalensis*; however, in most cases the interstitials are very small and the scutum is shiny. The scutum is rather narrow and elongate and the lengthy appearance is accentuated by the sharply marked lateral ridges. These features make the females easy to recognize.

The unmounted genital aperture is roughly trapezoidal (Fig. 4). However, the posterior edge is evenly curved rather than straight. The greatest area of depression is along the posterior and lateral edges of the opening. The area within the trapezoid slopes up gradually toward the anterior edge of the opening.

The very short and thick flaps seen in mounted genitalia will separate this species from others presently under discussion (Fig. 5).

Males: The scutum has large punctations similar to those characteristic of *R. simus*. Interstitial punctations are nearly non-existant, and the scutum has a shiny appearance. The body narrows anteriorly and is pear-shaped. Theiler (1947, pp. 284 and 285, Figs. 35 and 36) and Hoogstraal (1956, p. 725, Figs. 297-300) present adequate drawings of both sexes.

The distribution and host range of R. simpsoni are given under the section of this paper dealing with this species. The descriptions are based on 6 σ and 4 \circ from the Garamba Park in the northeastern portion of the Congo (E 2649).

R. senegalensis bears a close resemblance to R. sinus.

Female: The scutum is smooth and light brown in color. Several (7-10) large punctations are located in the shoulder area and a few are found around the eye; all the others outside the lateral grooves are pinpoint in size. There are 9 or 10 large punctations along the lateral groove and several other large « simus-like » punctations in the central region of the scutum. The interstitial punctations are very small and of uniform size throughout the central area.

The unmounted genital aperture is V-shaped; similar to *R. longus* (Fig. 6), but there is a tendency for the aperture to be a little wider and the posterior edge of the opening more broadly rounded in *R. senegalensis*.

The mounted genital aperture is also similar in structure to R. longus (Fig. 7) but the flaps are shorter, thicker, and the whole cup has the appearance of being somewhat wider.

Male: The general appearance of the scutum is smooth and shiny with about 10 large punctations located on the shoulders. Several other large punctations are present along the lateral groove and these continue anterior beyond the lateral grooves toward the cervical pits. Large, *simus*-like punctations are present in the central region and they may be slightly more prominent in the posterior half of the scutum. Median and paramedian grooves are present. Three festoons protrude in engorged specimens. Zumpt (1943, pp. 10 and 11, Figs. 6-7) presents excellent figures of the male of *R. senegalensis* (presented as *R. simus longoides* syn. = senegalensis).

Our descriptions are based on two lots from West Africa labeled as R. falcatus in the George H. F. Nuttall Collection at the BMNH: Nuttall Coll. No. 2670A, Kamagoto, Sierra Leone, 1.XI.1913, 9 σ , 16 \circ , off cattle, coll. Dr. J. J. Wood; and Nuttall Coll. No. 3054A, Kinkintomania, Sierra Leone, 11.VII.1914, 11 σ , 18 \circ , off sheep, coll. Dr. J. J. Wood. Thirty five other lots in the BMNH as $rac{R}$. $rac{Falcatus}{r}$ from Sierra Leone (32) Gold Coast (1) and Nigeria (2) were also examined and found to be typical $rac{R}$. $rac{Falcatus}{r}$ from Sierra Leone well to the description given above; however, in a few instances the interstitial punctations were a little heavier and could be classified as light to moderate.

We have also examined several vials of ticks that fit the above description of *R. senegalensis* from the Garamba Park in the northeastern Congo and several lots in Dr. Hoogstraal's Collection from the Sudan and Uganda. A tendency toward heavier interstitial punctations was also noted among some of these specimens, especially females from the Garamba Park. These heavier punctate specimens are very close to the lightly punctate specimens of *R. longus*.

In addition, the mounted genitalia of the Garamba Park specimens tend to have flaps that appear a little thinner and longer than the West African specimens; thereby resembling R. longus (Fig. 8). However, when only a small series of specimens is available for study, no important taxonomic decisions should be made using the mounted female genital aperture. We have noticed that the amount of clearing and the condition of the specimens can produce some variation in appearance. For this reason we still prefer to consider the material with light interstitial punctations from the Garamba Park and Hoogstraal's Sudan and Uganda specimens as R. senegalensis. In the future when the immature stages of both species are studied and large series are available for variation studies the specimens mentioned above which we are now considering as R. senegalensis may prove to be nothing more than lightly punctate R. longus (includes R. confusus).

R. senegalensis appears to be widely distributed in West Africa and extends across the northern edge of the Congo into the Sudan and Uganda.

The hosts include a large variety of wild and domestic animals. Further information on hosts and distribution is given by Hoogstraal (1956).

A source of possible future confusion in this group of species is use of the name senegalensis. Zumpt in his 1943 paper on the simus group uses the name R. simus longoides for material similar to that we are calling R. senegalensis, but mentions that Koch (1844) had described a female from Senegal that might eventually turn out to be a synonym. Then, without explanation, Zumpt (1950) uses the name R. simus senegalensis and considers his R. s. longoides to be a synonym. Since, in his 1950 paper, he did not mention having examined Koch's type female of R. senegalensis, the use of this name is open to question. However, since the name has been used extensively in the past few years, we believe that a search for Koch's type should be made and if it proves to be lost, as seems to be the case, a neotype should be established. Preferably, this neotype should be selected from a reared series so that specimens could be sent to museums and tick workers throughout the world.

Also it should be noted here that we agree with Santos Dias (1955, 1956b, 1958) and Tendeiro (1959) in considering R. senegalensis as a separate species rather than a subspecies of R. simus.

All the species discussed above are considered to be in the *simus* group. Several African tick specialists following Zumpt's 1942 findings considered *R. longus* to be in the *capensis* group. However, we consider it best to place *R. longus* (sensu Neumann) in the *simus* group because the scutum of this species has large punctations interspersed with light to medium interstitial punctations. Furthermore, the nymph of *R. longus* lacks dorsal cornua on the capitulum (information furnished by Miss Jane Walker) and, thereby, is more like the species in the *simus* group than those in the *capensis* group where dorsal cornua are always present on the capitulum.

The heavily and evenly punctate ticks which were confused by Zumpt with $R.\ longus$ (sensu Neumann) we prefer to call $R.\ pseudolongus$. This species was described as a subspecies of $R.\ capensis$ by Santos Dias in 1953 from material from Cameroon. In 1955 he elevated $R.\ pseudolongus$ to species rank. Then in 1956 he sank it as a synonym of $R.\ longus$, and in 1958 reiterated this opinion.

We have examined the male holotype of *R. pseudolongus* (kindly furnished by Dr. Ernesto Ferreira de Abreu, Director of Laboratorio Central de Pathologia Veterinaria, Lourenço Marques, Moçambique) and we believe that it is identical to the material we are discussing below under this name and this species is entirely different from *R. longus* (sensu Neumann). This species has been the source of confusion for many years, since both sexes resemble the more heavily punctate specimens of *R. longus* and the females and runt males are almost inseparable from *R. compositus*. We consider *R. pseudolongus* to be in the *capensis* group (reasons given below).

The species is characterized as follows:

Female: The scutum is heavily and evenly punctate with many moderate sized ones present on the shoulders. A few large punctations are inter-

spersed with the moderate ones in this area. They are scarse along the lateral margin near the eye. In some specimens there is a clear margin along the top of the lateral groove as is characteristic of R. compositus. The central area is covered with heavy, deep, evenly spaced punctations and these tend to be somewhat confluent in the cervical areas. The cervical areas are slightly wrinkled in some specimens. Large simus-like punctations are lacking entirely along the lateral groove. This is one of the important features in placing R. pseudolongus in a group with R. compositus and R. capensis rather than with species in the simus group.

The unmounted genital aperture is roughly V-shaped (Fig 9). However, the V is shorter and wider than in R. longus and R. senegalensis. Also the pigmented flaps of the cup protrude and can be seen at the lateral edges of the opening. The central area of the opening is depressed. The general appearance of the unmounted aperture is similar to that of R. compositus.

The mounted genitalia show a wide cup with highly pigmented lateral flaps (Fig. 10). Comparing this figure with that given by Feldman-Muhsam (1956, Fig. 2) the genital aperture appears to provide a good feature for separating R. pseudolongus and R. compositus. However, when well cleared genitalia from specimens of laboratory reared R. compositus (Fig 11), furnished by Miss Jane Walker, were compared with the genitalia of our R. pseudolongus females, these apparent differences disappeared. This indicates the dangers that can arise when lightly cleared genitalia, such as that shown by Feldman-Muhsam (1956, Fig. 2), are compared with genitalia that have been subjected to more intense clearing.

We are unable as yet to find any features for separating the females of R. pseudolongus from R. compositus.

Males: The scutum is heavily and evenly punctate with several moderate to large-sized ones on the shoulder areas. These punctations are slightly smaller than those in the central region. There are many small to moderate evenly distributed punctations outside the lateral grooves. The central area of the scutum is covered with large evenly spaced punctations; however, a few may run together in the posterior region. No simuslike punctations can be seen on these specimens. In some specimens there is a reduction of punctations along the lateral grooves which gives an appearance that is characteristic of R. compositus. Three festoons protrude in moderately engorged specimens and in heavily engorged males the festoons lateral and posterior to the spiracular plate are also expressed. As stated above, the adanal shields are typically sickle-shaped, but in several series from the Garamba Park, the shields are reduced. This reduction in the adanal shields would tend to cause difficulty in separating R. pseudolongus from R. compositus.

Nymph: Several fully engorged nymphs were found in Garamba Park material. By breaking open some of them and examining the adults forming

within, it was possible to establish their identity as R. pseudolongus. These specimens have pronounced cornua and resemble the nymphs of R. compositus as described by Theiler et al. (1956). The presence of cornua on the nymphs of R. pseudolongus lends further weight to our proposal to consider this species with R. compositus and R. capensis.

The following authors give figures that represent our conception of this species: Zumpt (1942) as *R. capensis longus* (pp. 492-493, Figs. 6-8); Santos Dias (1953) as *R. capensis pseudolongus* (p. 6, Figs. 1-3); Santos Dias (1955) as *R. pseudolongus* (p. 110, Fig. 2) and Hoogstraal (1956) as *R. longus* (p. 664, Figs. 277-280).

The specimens described above were from one of several lots (E. 3769) collected in the Garamba Park. Full information on the Garamba Park specimens will be presented in a separate report. In addition to the Garamba Park material, the following lots have been examined: BMNH, Nuttall Coll. No. 2338, Kinkintomania, Sierra Leone, 27.VI.1913, 25, off. bush cow, J. J. Wood, coll.; FZ, Cameroon, several 5's and 9's (Zumpt, 1942, as R. capensis longus); HH, Laboni, Sudan, 27.II.1950, 15, on Syncerus caffer aequinoctialis (Hoogstraal, 1956, as R. longus), H. Hoogstraal, coll. (A. single female of R. senegalensis is present in the tube with this male); HH, Kheirallah, Equatoria Prov., Sudan, 25.III.1911, 35, 39, on buffalo (Hoogstraal, 1956, as R. longus) H. H. King, Coll.; HH, Kiqumba, Uganda, 16.VIII.1956, 195, 49 (det. by Hoogstraal, as R. longus, 115, 39 of R. longus in same vial), C. E. Yunker, coll.; and HH, Katulkire, Uganda, 16.VIII.1956, on buffalo, 155, 189 (det. by Hoogstraal, as R. longus, 65, 49 of R. longus in same vial), C. E. Yunker, coll.

Based on the above records and after examining the literature, we believe that *R. pseudolongus* is widely distributed in West Africa and ranges across into the Sudan, northern Congo, and northern Uganda. The hosts of the adults seem to be the larger wild and domestic animals. Several lots of engorged nymphs we examined from the Garamba Park, northern Congo, were collected in the nests of various species of rodents.

Rhipicephalus masseyi Nuttall and Warburton.

(Fig. 12.)

Rhipicephalus masseyi Nuttall and Warburton, 1908, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., 14, p. 404.

Hosts and Distribution:

MAMMALS.

Nos. 175-B, Kaswabilenga, 25.X.1947, 10 &, 9 Q, on *Phacochoerus aethiopicus* (PALLAS) (No. 510); 175-B, Kaswabilenga, 31.XI.1947, 1 &, on *Potamochoerus porcus* (LINNÉ) (No. 527); 223-B, Kaziba, 17.II.1948, 13 &, 5 Q, on *Potamochoerus porcus* (LINNÉ) (No. 743); 224-B, Kaziba, 17.II.1948, 6 &, 6 Q, on *Potamochoerus porcus* (LINNÉ) (No. 742);

366-B, Mabwe, 14.I.1949, 1 &, on Atilax paludinosus (G. Cuvier) (No. 1339); 357-B, Mabwe, 29.XII.1948, 1 &, on Bubalus caffer (Sparrman) (No. 1289); 339-B, Mabwe, 3.XII.1948, 1 &, on Orycteropus afer (Pallas) (No. 1223); 344-B, Mabwe, 7.XII.1948, 4 &, 1 Q, on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 1227); 348-B, Mabwe, 1 &, 5 Q, on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 1228); 365-B, Mabwe, 14.I.1949, 32 &, 23 Q, on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 1338).

HOST UNKNOWN.

No. 813-A, Lukawe, 6-9.X.1947, 1 &.

We have examined the types of R. masseyi in the BMNH (20 σ , 9 \circ) and with the exception of one female specimen they are identical to the material presented here under this name. According to Miss Jane Walker (in litt.) who has examined these specimens, this single aberrant female σ looks like σ . hurti σ a species that had not been described when she had previously seen these ticks. Further, the mounted genital apertures of females of σ masseyi from the Upemba Park (Fig. 12) are very much like the aperture from a type female shown by Feldman-Muhsam (1956, Fig. 12).

R. masseyi has been reported from several localities in Central Africa, but to our knowledge the above records constitute the first report of this species in the Congo.

Larger wild animals such as those listed above seem to be the primary hosts for adults of this species.

Rhipicephalus reichenowi ZUMPT.

Rhipicephalus reichenowi Zumpt, 1943, Ztschr. Parasitenk., 13, p. 19.

Hosts and Distribution:

MAMMALS.

Nos. 517-B, Kaswabilenga, 25.X.1947, 4 \$, 1 \$\rightarrow\$, on Phacochoerus aethiopicus (Pallas) (No. 510); 175-B, Kaswabilenga, 31.X.1947, 2 \$, 2 \$\rightarrow\$, on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 527); 223-B, Kaziba, 17.II.1948, 19 \$, 12 \$\rightarrow\$, on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 743); 224-B, Kaziba, 17.II.1948, 30 \$, 6 \$\rightarrow\$, on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 742); 357-B, Mabwe, 29.XII.1948, 5 \$, 2 \$\rightarrow\$, on Bubalus caffer (Sparrman) (No. 1289); 201-B, Kubaya, 27.X.1947, 1 \$\rightarrow\$, on Phacochoerus aethiopicus (Pallas) (No. 620); 344-B, Mabwe, 7.XII.1948, 3 \$, 2 \$\rightarrow\$, on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 1227); 348-B, Mabwe, 7.XII.1948, 4 \$, 3 \$\rightarrow\$, on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 1228); 365-B, Mabwe, 14.I.1949, 27 \$, 11 \$\rightarrow\$, on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 1338); 255-B, Buya-Bala, 28.III.1948, 1 \$, on Thos adustus (Sundevall) (No. 785); 339-B, Mabwe, 3.XII.1948, 1 \$, on Orycteropus afer (Pallas) (No. 1223); 455-B, Mware, 16.VIII.1949, 8 \$, 4 \$\rightarrow\$, on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 1574).

HOST UNKNOWN.

Nos. 2506-A, Kabenga, 2-6.IV.1949, 1 Q; 813-A, Lukawe, 6-9.X.1947, 1 &.

R. reichenowi is a very poorly known species described by ZUMPT in 1943 from 13 males collected at Mikesse, Tanganyika, on a porcupine (Hystrix africae-australis). Since this time little mention of this species has been made in the literature. Santos Dias (1950) described R. zumpti from Mozambique and after examining material determined by him and checking his figures (p. 157, Fig. 12) and the description, we conclude that his species is a synonym of reichenowi.

The following material labeled as R. zumpti by Santos Dias was examined: MCZ, Machanga, Mozambique, 24.IV.1952, 2 σ , on Potamochoerus porcus, coll. A. Faira, and RML No. 32587, Gavuro, Mozambique, May 1951, 2 σ , on Kobus ellipsiprymnus.

In addition, we have examined some laboratory reared material of *R. reichenowi*, consisting of males, females, nymphs, and larvae (RML 34803). These specimens were bred from a female collected at the Makumiri River, near Tengeru, Tanganyika, 29.VII.1956, on man, coll. Hoogstraal *et al.*

The above records represent the first report of this species from the Congo.

Various large wild animals seem to be the hosts of predilection for adults of this species.

Rhipicephalus sanguineus (LATREILLE).

Ixodes sanguineus Latreille, 1806, Gen. Crust. et Ins., 1, p. 157.

Hosts and Distribution:

BIRDS.

Nos. 953-A, Kaswabilenga, 4.XI.1947, 1 \circ , on Anastomus lamelligerus lamelligerus, Temminck (No. 1848); 256-B, Katongo, 2.IV.1948, 1 \circ , on Lissotis melanogaster (Rüppell) (No. 2773).

MAMMALS.

Nos. 1569-A, Kabwe, 5.V.1948, 2 &, on Viverra civetta schwarzi (Cabrera) (No. 834); 249-B, Kalungwe, 7.III.1948, 1 &, on Thos adustus (Sundevall) (No. 755); 219-B, Kaziba, 13.II.1948, 2 &, on Genetta genetta hintoni Schwarz (No. 729); 218-B, Kaziba, 13.II.1948, 2 &, on Viverra civetta schwarzi (Cabrera) (No. 218); 43-B, Lusinga, 10.IV.1947, 1 &, on Thos adustus (Sundevall) (No. 87); 50-B, Lusinga, 13.IV.1947, 2 &, 1 &, on Thos adustus (Sundevall) (No. 89); 42-B, Lusinga, 9.IV.1947, 2 &, 4 &, on Leptailurus serval (Schreber) (No. 85); 338-B, Madwe, 2.XII.1948, 10 &, 2 &, on Galago crassicaudatus E. Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire (No. 1218); 329-B, Madwe, 22.XI.1948, 1 &, on Genetta genetta hintoni Schwarz (No. 1187); 330-B, Madwe, 22.XI.1948, 1 &, on Genetta genetta hintoni Schwarz (No. 1183); 334-B, Madwe, 28.XI.1948, 2 &, on Genetta genetta hintoni Schwarz (No. 1209); 345-B, Madwe, 10.XII.1948, 4 &, on Genetta genetta hintoni Schwarz (No. 1209); 345-B, Madwe, 10.XII.1948, 4 &, on Genetta genetta hintoni Schwarz

The specimens listed here agree well with the criteria given by Hoog-STRAAL (1956) for identifying this species. The majority of these specimens were moderately punctate; however, a few had heavier punctations and thus resembled R. sulcatus.

HOOGSTRAAL (*supra cit.*) says « this species is present almost everywhere in Africa except possibly in the most extreme situations of the great deserts of northern and southwestern Africa and perhaps in a few of the most isolated oases ». He gives a summary of the host information up to 1956.

Rhipicephalus simpsoni NUTTALL.

(Figs. 4, 5.)

Rhipicephalus simpsoni Nuttall, 1910, Parasitology, 3, p. 413.

Host and Distribution:

BIRD.

No. 619-A, Gorges de la Pelenge, 18.VI.1947, $1 \ 3$, on Chloroptera natalensis major Hartert (No. 806).

According to Hoogstraal (1956) « R. simpsoni has been reported from widely scattered localities throughout Africa within the Ethiopian Faunal Region and probably occurs wherever its favorite host, the cane rat, does ».

The record listed above from a bird is unusual and requires confirmation. Information on the differentiation of R. simpsoni is included in the discussion following R. longus.

Rhipicephalus simus Koch.

(Figs. 13, 14.)

Rhipicephalus simus Koch, 1844, Arch. f. Naturgesch., 10, p. 238.

Hosts and Distribution:

MAMMALS.

Nos. 1569-A, Kabwe, 5.V.1948, 5 $\,$ \$, 1 $\,$ \$, on Viverra civetta schwarzi (Cabrera) (No. 834); 249-B, Kalungwe, 7.III.1948, 1 $\,$ \$, 3 $\,$ \$, on Thos adustus (Sundevall) (No. 755); 157-B, Kaswabilenga, 25.X.1947, 4 $\,$ \$, on Phacochoerus aethiopicus (Pallas) (No. 510); 200-B, Kateke, 2.XII.1947, 1 $\,$ \$, 1 $\,$ \$, on Hippotragus niger (Harris) (No. 598); 199-B,

Kateke, 25.XI.1947. 19 \$, 5 \$, on Phacochoerus aethiopicus (Pallas) (No. 562); 258-B, Katongo, 14.IV.1948, 2 \$, 1 \$, on Orycteropus afer (Pallas) (No. 811); 223-B, Kaziba, 17.II.1948, 7 \$, on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 743); 224-B, Kaziba, 17.II.1948, 6 \$, 2 \$, on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 742); 218-B, Kaziba, 13.II.1948, 2 \$, 1 \$, on Viverra civetta schwarzi (Cabrera) (No. 728); 34-B, Lusinga, 2.IV.1947, 4 N, 1 L, on Arvicanthis tenebrosus rhodesiae Saint-Léger (No. 59); 42-B, Lusinga, 9.IV.1947, 1 \$, 1 \$, on Leptailurus serval (Schreber) (No. 85); 50-B, Lusinga, 13.IV.1947, 3 \$, 2 \$, on Thos adustus (Sundevall) (No. 89); 357-B, Madwe, 29.XII.1948, 1 \$, on Bubalus caffer (Sparrman) (No. 1289); 62-B, Mudale, 11.V.1947, 2 \$, on Genetta genetta hintoni Schwarz (No. 118); 541-C, Senze, 13.III.1948, 2 \$, 3 \$, on Phacochoerus aethiopicus (Pallas) (No. 764).

HOST UNKNOWN.

Nos. 1320-A, Kaziba, 21.II.1948, 1 &; 1373-A, Kafwe River, 5.III.1948, 1 Q.

The specimens above agree well with the criteria given by Hoogstraal (1956) for the identification of this species. However, we are now able to separate females of R. simus (R. s. simus of Hoogstraal) from those of R. senegalensis (R. s. senegalensis of Hoogstraal) by examining the genitalia.

The unmounted genital opening of *R. simus* is trapezoidal and raised. The area lateral to the opening is depressed, giving the appearance of a border around the actual opening (Fig. 13). In *R. senegalensis* the opening is roughly V-shaped, the area within the V is depressed and there is no indication of a border around the aperture (Fig. 6).

The mounted genitalia appear similar at first glance; however, the distance between the flap is greater in R. simus and the over-all shape is quite different from that of R. senegalensis (compare Fig. 14 with Figs. 7 and 8).

TENDEIRO (1959) gives figures of the mounted genital aperture of females of R. simus from Mozambique and R. senegalensis from Portuguese Guinea. Based on the shape of these genitalia and number of festoons encompassed by the lateral grooves he concludes that all of his material from Portuguese Guinea is R. senegalensis and not R. simus. He further indicates that the West African records of other authors for R. simus are open to question and probably refer to R. senegalensis. (See Tendeiro's synonymy for R. senegalensis).

Since the figures of the mounted genitalia of *R. senegalensis* shown by Tendeiro (p. 45, Fig. 8 and photos 5 and 6) differ somewhat from our *R. senegalensis* collected in Sierra Leone and the Congo (Garamba Park) (Figs. 7 and 8), we would have to accept these records as *R. senegalensis* with some reservation. Also we have noted considerable variation in the number of festoons enclosed by the lateral grooves in both *R. simus* and *R. senegalensis*; therefore we are hesitant to accept this feature for separating these two species. In addition, we have examined several vials of ticks

labeled as *R. simus* in the RML collection (all verified by Dr. Theiler) and find that they agree perfectly with our criteria for *R. simus*. We, therefore, would have to conclude that *R. simus* is present in West Africa and the records of Morel (1956, 1958) and Morel and Mouchet (1958) and other West African records for this species are in all probability valid.

R. simus is widely distributed throughout Africa. A good review of the distribution and host data is presented by HOOGSTRAAL (1956).

Rhipicephalus supertritus NEUMANN.

(Fig. 15.)

Rhipicephalus supertritus Neumann, 1907, Arch. de Parasitologie, 11, p. 216. Hosts and Distribution:

MAMMALS.

Nos. 200-B, Kateke, 2.XII.1947, 14 &, on *Hippotragus niger* (Harris) (No. 598); 357-B, Mabwe, 29.XII.1948, 1 &, on *Bubalus caffer* (Sparrman) (No. 1289).

HOST UNKNOWN.

Nos. 2506-A, Kabenga, 2-6.IV.1949, 23 $_{\odot}$, 8 $_{\odot}$; 1032-A, Kankunda, 22-24.XI.1947, 1 $_{\odot}$; 182-B, Kankunda, 24-28.XI.1947, 1 $_{\odot}$.

The specimens listed here agree well with the description presented by Theiler (1947) and the characteristics given by Hoogstraal (1956).

An interesting sidelight was noted when the mounted female genitalia of this species (Fig. 15) were compared with the genitalia of the female cotype of R. coriaceus syn. = R. supertritus as shown by Feldman-Muhsam (1956, Fig. 11). Enough differences were apparent to leave some doubt in our mind as to whether R. coriaceus, as represented by this cotype female, is actually a synonym of R. supertritus. However, as stated previously we do not believe that important taxonomic decisions should be made without comparing a series of specimens. We do, however, recommend that the cotype specimens be examined again with this thought in mind.

R. supertritus is an uncommon tick that has a spotty distribution in east, central and parts of southern Africa. It parasitizes larger wild animals and occasionally domestic animals. Hoogstraal (1956) provides a summary of distribution and host data as well as excellent figures of both sexes.

Rhipicephalus tricuspis Dönitz.

(Fig. 16.)

Rhipicephalus tricuspis Dönttz, 1906, Sitzungsber. Ges. Naturf. Fr. Berlin, 5, p. 146.

Hosts and Distribution:

MAMMALS.

Nos. 255-B, Buye-Bala, 28.III.1948, 1 &, on Thos adustus (SUNDEVALL) (No. 785); 249-B, Kalungwe, 7.III.1948, 3 &, 1 Q, on Thos adustus (SUNDEVALL) (No. 755); 157-B, Kaswabilenga, 25.X.1947, 4 &, 2 Q, on Phacochoerus aethiopicus (Pallas) (No. 510); 200-B, Kateke, 2.XII,1947, 1 &, on Hippotragus niger (Harris) (No. 598); 199-B, Kateke, 25.XI.1947, 15 &, 9 Q, on Phacochoerus aethiopicus (Pallas) (No. 562); 234-B, Kaziba, 26.II.1948, 1 &, 1 Q, on Orycteropus afer (Pallas) (No. 753); 223-B, Kaziba, 17.II.1948, 27 &, 27 Q, on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 743); 224-B, Kaziba, 17.II.1948, 30 &, 13 Q, on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 742); 218-B, Kaziba, 13.II.1948, 1 &, on Viverra civetta schwarzi (Cabrera) (No. 728); 201-B, Kubaya, 27.X.1947, 1 Q, on I hacochoerus aethiopicus (Pallas) (No. 620); 42-B, Lusinga, 9.IV.1947, 1 &, on Leptailurus serval (Schreber) (No. 85); 357-B, Mabwe, 29.XII.1948, 14 &, 5 Q, on Bubalus caffer (Sparrman) (No. 1289); 339-B, Mabwe, 3.XII.1948, 46 &, 38 Q, on Orycteropus afer (Pallas) (No. 1223); 365-B, Mabwe, 14.I.1949, 42 &, 36 Q, on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 1227); 348-B, Mabwe, 7.XII.1948, 3 &, on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 1227); 348-B, Mabwe, 7.XII.1948, 2 &, 4 Q, on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 1228); Mukana, 22.I.1948, 3 &, 3 Q, on Leptailurus serval (Schreber) (No. 676).

HOST UNKNOWN.

Nos. 875-A, Piste de Lusinga, 24.X.1947, 4 &, 4 Q; 2212-A, Mabwe, 13.I.1949, 1 Q.

The material identified here as *R. tricuspis* agrees well with the description presented by Theiler (1947) and the diagnosis presented by Hoog-Straal (1956).

R. tricuspis is scattered throughout most of Africa and parasitizes a variety of domestic and larger wild animals. Further information on the distribution and host range can be found in HOOGSTRAAL (supra cit.)

Tendeiro (1959) presents evidence to support the separation of *R. tricuspis* and *R. lunulatus* as distinct species. However, after examining his photographs of the female genitalia (photos 7-40) of these two species we do not see enough difference to justify this separation. Further, the other distinguishing features given are, in our experience, variable and would also lead us to question his decision. However, we do agree with Tendeiro (supra cit.) that the female genitalia, mounted or unmounted, will easily separate *R. tricuspis* from *R. simus* (compare Figs. 14 and 16).

Rhipicephalus ziemanni Neumann.

Rhipicephalus ziemanni Neumann, 1904, Arch. de Parasitologie, 8, p. 464.

Hosts and Distribution:

MAMMALS.

Nos. 157-B, Kaswabilenga, 25.X.1947, 37 &, 26 Q, on Phacochoerus aethiopicus (Pallas) (No. 510); 223-B, Kaziba, 17.II.1948, 4 &, on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 743); 224-B, Kaziba, 17.II.1948, 3 &, on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 742); 218-B, Kaziba, 13.II.1948, 2 Q, on Viverra civetta schwarzi (Cabrera) (No. 728); 201-B, Kubaya, 27.X.1947, 1 &, 1 Q, on Phacochoerus aethiopicus (Pallas) (No. 620); 42-B, Lusinga, 9.IV.1947, 1 &, on Leptailurus serval (Schreber) (No. 85); 357-B, Mabwe, 29.XII.1948, 1 &, on Bubalus caffer (Sparrman) (No. 1289).

The specimens of R. ziemanni agree generally with the description presented by Themer (1947). However, Miss Jane Walker (in litt.) recently examined the cotypes of R. ziemanni (BMNH, Nuttall Coll. 2898, Cameroon, 1 \circlearrowleft , 1 \circlearrowleft , off cattle) and comments that the apical processes of coxae I are blunt and just show ». Miss Walker feels that Neumann's (1908) figure of R. cuneatus syn. = R. ziemanni, which is reproduced by Themer, is incorrect in that the apical processes of coxae I are drawn as part of the scapular region. Based on our own examination of these type specimens and material we have examined in the Museum of Comparative Zoology and that listed above from the Upemba Park, we agree completely with Miss Walker's observation.

Tendeiro (1959) summarizes the available information on this species and reproduces Neumann's figure of R. cuneatus mentioned above.

R. ziemanni is distributed throughout most of West Africa and in parts of the Congo. According to Morel (1958) it occurs principally on wild herbivores, more rarely on carnivores, and sometimes on domestic animals.

Rhipicephalus sp. nr. pravus Dönitz.

(Figs. 17, 18.)

Distribution and Hosts:

BIRDS.

No. 256-B, Katongo, 2.IV.1948, 5 \upphi , on Lissotis melanogaster (Rüppell) (No. 2773).

MAMMALS.

Nos. 440-B, Ganza, 17.VI.1949, 2 & , 5 & , on Tragelaphus scriptus (Pallas) (No. 1554); 448-B, Ganza, 28.VI.1949, 3 & , 3 & , on Tragelaphus scriptus (Pallas) (No. 1568); 445-B, Ganza, 23.VI.1949, 1 & , on Hippotragus niger (Harris) (No. 1562); 433-B, Ganza, 28.V.1949, 4 & , 7 & , on Alcelaphus lichtensteini (Peters) (No. 1527); 75-B, Gorges de la Pelenge, 10.VI.1947, 10 & , on Oreotragus oreotragus (Zimmermann) (No. 168); 239-B, Kamitunu, 9.III.1948, 8 & , 5 & , on Lepus capensis crawshayi (De Winton) (No. 757); 175-B, Kaswabilenga, 31.XI.1947, 1 & , 1 & , on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 527); 223-B, Kaziba, 17.II.1948, 138 & , 152 & , on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 743); 224-B, Kaziba, 17.II.1948, 137 & , 135 & , on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 742); 213-B, Kaziba, 7.II.1948, 3 & , 3 & , on Oreotragus oreotragus (Zimmermann) (No. 703); 357-B, Mabwe, 29.XII.1948, 58 & , 1 & , on Bubalus caffer (Sparrman) (No. 1289); 120-B,

Mabwe, 23.VIII.1947, 5 &, 4 &, on Cephalophus sylvicultor (AFZELIUS) (No. 375); 344-B, Mabwe, 7.XII.1948, 2 &, 1 &, on Potamochoerus porcus (LINNÉ) (No. 1227); 348-B, Mabwe, 7.XII.1948, 4 &, 5 &, on Potamochoerus porcus (LINNÉ) (No. 1228); 365-B, Mabwe, 14.I.1949, 27 &, 24 &, on Potamochoerus porcus (LINNÉ) (No. 1338); 455-B, Mware, 16.VII.1949, 6 &, 13 &, on Potamochoerus porcus (LINNÉ) (No. 1574).

HOST UNKNOWN.

Nos. 929-A, Kaswabilenga, 3-4.XI.1947, 1 ♀; 1200-A, Kenia, 19.XII.1947, 1 ♀.

During our early sorting of the Upemba Park material we identified the above specimens as R. pravus. Subsequently, upon examination of this material by Miss Jane Walker, she commented that these specimens were not R. pravus, but represented a different species. She indicated that she had seen some lots from Tanganyika that contained similar material. Miss Walker called our attention to the fact that in this species the punctations of the scutum of both the males and females are light and all of a similar size; whereas in typical R. pravus the punctations tend to be heavier and are of two different sizes.

In addition we have examined the genitalia of lightly and evenly punctate females from Tanganyika sent by Miss Walker and find that they are identical to the genitalia removed from females in the Upemba Park material (compare Figs. 17 and 18).

Even before Miss Walker examined this material, it had been noted that the genital apertures of these Upemba Park specimens were not the same as that shown by Feldman-Muhsam (1956, Fig. 7) for *R. pravus*. At that time we thought that Feldman-Muhsam's identification of this specimen might be incorrect. However, after examining the specimen from which the aperture she figured was removed, we concluded that her identification of *R. pravus* was correct. Later we examined additional material identified as *R. pravus* at the RML and laboratory-reared specimens furnished by Miss Walker and found that the female genital apertures of these specimens agreed well with the figure shown by Feldman-Muhsam for *R. pravus*.

After it was established that these specimens were different from R. pravus, the question arose as to the name to be applied to this species. By chance we noted that the genital aperture of these Upemba Park females was almost identical to that shown by Feldman-Muhsam for the type of R. kochi (compare our Fig. 17 with Feldman-Muhsam's, 1956, Fig. 13). Further, we had already concluded that the genitalia shown by Feldman-Muhsam for the type of R. kochi were not the same as those of females that most African specialists would determine as kochi. (Compare Figs. 19 and 20 with Feldman-Muhsam, 1956, Fig. 13.) (This observation was based on an examination of genitalia from specimens identified as R. kochi by Dr. G. Theiler, Miss Jane Walker, and Glen M. Kohls. Some of the specimens sent by Miss Walker were laboratory reared.) This observation seemed more logical after the literature pertaining to R. kochi was examined. Zumpt (1943b on

p. 104) comments on $R.\ kochi$ as follows (translation): « A doubtful species is $R.\ kochi$ Dönitz. From the Berlin Museum I have one female from Sadani, in German East Africa, which is designated type. The male from Sadani and the remaining 7 females from Sadani and Lindi are apparently lost. At present I am not in a position to give the species identification of the female. The scutum is surprisingly slender. It may be a somewhat aberrant masseyi female but may just as well represent another species. Evidently Dönitz himself was not sure the females belong to the male. According to the male diagnosis, kochi and jeanneli are in all probability identical. However, as long as no typical jeanneli from Sadani are available, I would place $R.\ kochi$ Dönitz provisionaly with (?) $R.\ jeanneli$ Neumann ».

Should R. jeanneli Neumann eventually be shown to occur at Sadani then the two species could be taken to be the same. In which case, R. kochi Dönitz has priority.

Based on these statements by Zumpt and the figure of the genital aperture of the type of R. kochi presented by Feldman-Muhsam we think it is reasonable to assume that the name R. kochi Dönitz may actually apply to these lightly and evenly punctate ticks from Upemba Park and Tanganyika we have discussed above and not to the specimens identified under this name by the majority of African tick specialists. If upon examining the type specimen which bears the name kochi we find that it is identical to these Upemba Park and Tanganyika specimens, then we would recommend that the name R. jeanneli Neumann be resurrected and applied to the specimens formerly improperly called R. kochi. If, on the other hand, we find that the type female in the Berlin Museum is identical to specimens currently identified as R. kochi by African tick specialists, then we will have to describe these lightly and evenly punctate specimens as a new species and R. jeanneli will remain a synonym of R. kochi.

Another interesting fact emerged from the study of female tick genitalia. It was noted that the genital aperture of females of R. kochi (specimens currently identifed as R. kochi by African tick specialists) whether mounted or unmounted was very different from that of the closely related species R. hurti (compare Figs. 20 and 21 with 22 and 23). Females of R. kochi and R. hurti are extremely difficult to separate, especially in mixed lots and this feature provides an apparently valid method for identifying these two species.

Rhipicephalus spp.

Hosts and Distribution:

BIRDS.

Nos. 2414-A, Kalule-Nord, 3.III.1949, 1 N, on *Poicephalus meyeri neavi* Grant (No. 4812); 226-B, Kaziba, 18.II.1948, 1 L, on *Melocichla mentalis grandis* (Bocage) (No. 2435); 1659-A, Munoi, 1.VI.1948, 1 L, on *Camaroptera simplex katangae* (Neave) (No. 3109).

MAMMALS.

Nos. 440-B, Ganza, 17.VI.1949, 7 N, 4 L, on Tragelaphus scriptus (Pallas) (No. 1554); 224-B, Kaziba, 17.II.1948, 1 Q, on Potamochoerus porcus (Linné) (No. 742); 120-B, Mabwe, 23.VIII.1947, 1 Q, on Cephalophus sylvicultor (Afzelius) (No. 375); 367-B, Mabwe, 15.I.1949, 1 L, on Mungos mungo (GMELIN) (No. 1340); 109-B, Mabwe, 12.VIII.1947, 12 N, on Paraxerus cepapi quotus Wroughton (No. 330); 2342-A, Mabwe, 15.II.1949, 15 L, on Pelomys fallax Peters (No. 1418); 110-B. Mabwe, 14.VIII.1947, 10 N, on Petrodromus robustus O. Thomas (No. 339); 287-B, Masombwe, 6.VII.1948, 19 N, on Petrodromus robustus O. Thomas (No. 976); 321-B, Mabwe, 18.XI.1948, 23 N, 2 L, on Petrodromus robustus O. Thomas (No. 1169); 387-B, Mabwe, 24.II.1949, 7 N, 11 L, on Petrodromus robustus O. Thomas (No. 1451).

HOST UNKNOWN.

Nos. 483-A, Gorges de la Pelenge, 10-14.VI.1947, 1 $\,$ 2; 2271-A, Kabulumba, 28.I.1949, 1 N; 1320-A, Kaziba, 21.II.1948, 1 $\,$ 2; 1384-A, Mukana, 15.III.1948, 1 $\,$ 2 .

The immature specimens listed above are unidentifiable at the present time, and they may represent a species whose immature stages are as yet unkown. For comments on some of the females listed here see discussion under $R.\ compositus$.

CLASSIFIED HOST LIST

REPTILES.

ORDER TESTUDINATA.

TESTUDINIDAE.	
Kinixys belliana belliana	Amblyomma nuttalli.
ORDER	SQUAMATA.
VARANIDAE.	
Varanus niloticus niloticus	Amblyomma spp. Aponomma exornatum.
Varanus exanthematicus angolensis	Aponomma exornatum.
Reptile ?	Aponomma exornatum.
-	
***	****
MA	MMALS.
ORDER	PRIMATES.
LARISIDAE.	
Galago crassicaudatus	Rhipicephalus sanguineus.
3	
ORDER	CARNIVORA.
F'ELIDAE.	
$Leptailurus\ serval \ \dots \ \dots \ \dots \ \dots$	Haemaphysalis leachii.
	Rhipicephalus sanguineus.
	Rhipicephalus simus.
	Rhipicephalus tricuspis. Rhipicephalus ziemanni.
VIVERRIDAE.	improcephana sichanni.
	4 1.7
Viverra civetta schwarzi	Amblyomma spp. Haemaphysalis leachii.
	Haemaphysalis muhsami.
	Ixodes aulacodi.
	Ixodes pseudorasus.

Genetta genetta hintoni	Rhipicentor bicornis. Rhipicephalus compositus. Rhipicephalus sanguineus. Rhipicephalus simus. Rhipicephalus tricuspis. Rhipicephalus ziemanni Haemaphysalis muhsami. Haemaphysalis parmata. Ixodes pseudorasus. Rhipicephalus sanguineus. Rhipicephalus simus.
$Herpestes ichneumon \dots \dots \dots$	Haemaphysalis muhsami.
Mungos mungo	Amblyomma tholloni. Amblyomma spp. Haemaphysalis muhsami. Rhipicephalus longus. Rhipicephalus spp.
Atilax paludinosus	Haemaphysalis muhsami. Rhipicephalus masseyi.
$Myonax \ cauui \ \dots \ \dots \ \dots \ \dots \ \dots$	Haemaphysalis muhsami.
CANIDAE.	
Thos adustus	Haemaphysalis leachii. Rhipicentor bicornis. Rhipicephalus longus. Rhipicephalus reichenowi. Rhipicephalus sanguineus. Rhipicephalus simus. Rhipicephalus tricuspis.
ORDER IN	NSECTIVORA.
$Potamogale\ velox\\\\$	Ixodes dawesi.
MACROSCELIDIDAE.	
Petrodromus robustus	Haemaphysalis sp. Rhipicephalus spp.
ORDER TUI	BULIDENTATA.
Orycteropus afer	Haemaphysalis muhsami Rhipicephalus longus. Rhipicephalus masseyi. Rhipicephalus reichenowi. Rhipicephalus simus. Rhipicephalus tricuspis.

ORDER UNGULATA.

SUIDAE.					
Potamochoerus porcus .					Amblyomma spp. Rhipicephalus longus. Rhipicephalus masseyi. Rhipicephalus reichenowi. Rhipicephalus simus. Rhipicephalus tricuspis. Rhipicephalus ziemanni. Rhipicephalus sp. nr. pravus. Rhipicephalus spp.
Phacochoerus aethiopicus			•••		Rhipicephalus longus. Rhipicephalus masseyi, Rhipicephalus reichenowi. Rhipicephalus simus. Rhipicephalus tricuspis. Rhipicephalus ziemanni.
BOVIDAE.					
Alcelaphus lichtensteini					Rhipicephalus sp. nr. pravus.
Hippotragus niger	•••		•••	•••	Amblyomma pomposum. Amblyomma spp. Aponomma transversale. Rhipicephalus simus. Rhipicephalus supertritus Rhipicephalus sp. nr. pravus Rhipicephalus tricuspis.
Oreotragus oreotragus		•••	•••	•••	Ixodes cavipalpus. Rhipicephalus sp. nr. pravus.
Cephalophus sylvicultor	•••	•••	•••		Rhipicephalus sp. nr. pravus. Rhipicephalus spp.
Tragelaphus scriptus			•••	•••	Amblyomma spp. Rhipicephalus sp. nr. pravus, Rhipicephalus spp.
Bubalus caffer	•••				Rhipicephalus longus. Rhipicephalus masseyi. Rhipicephalus reichenowi. Rhipicephalus simus. Rhipicephalus supertritus. Rhipicephalus tricuspis. Rhipicephalus ziemanni. Rhipicephalus sp. nr. pravus.

ORDER RODENTIA.

SCIURIDAE.	
Paraxerus cepapi quotus	Rhipicephalus spp.
MURIDAE.	
Arvicanthis tenebrosus rhodesiae	Haemaphysalis leachii. Ixodes nairobiensis. Rhipicephalus sanguineus. Rhipicephalus simus.
$Pelomys \ fallax$,	Rhipicephalus spp.
Cricetomys gambianus	Haemaphysalis muhsami. Ixodes pseudorasus.
Steatomys pratensis	Haemaphysalis leachii. Haemaphysalis muhsami. Rhipicephalus sanguineus.
LEPORIDAE.	
Lepus capensis crawshayi	Rhipicephalus sp. nr. pravus.
BIRDS	i.
ORDER GRESSO	RIFORMES.
THRESKIORNITHIDAE.	
Anastomus lamelligerus lamelligerus Sphenorhynchus abdimii	Rhipicephalus sanguineus. Amblyomma spp.
ORDER GRUI	FORMES
OTIDIDAE.	TORMES.
Lissotis melanogaster	Rhipicephalus sanguineus. Rhipicephalus sp. nr. pravus.
ORDER LARO-LIMI	COLIFORMES
CHARADRIDAE.	COLII OTTMES.
Himantopus himantopus himantopus	Rhipicephalus longus.
ORDER PSITTA	CIFORMES.
PSITTACIDAE.	
Poicephalus meyeri neavi	Rhipicephalus spp.
ORDER STRIG	IFORMES
STRIGIDAE.	
Bubo lacteus	Haemaphysalis muhsami.

ORDER PASSERIFORMES.

ORDER PASSE	RIFORMES.
Alaudidae.	
Mirafra rufocinnamomea lwenarum	$Hae maphy salis\ hoodi.$
MOTACILLIDAE.	
Anthus lineiventris	Ixodes spp.
Anthus trivialis trivialis	Ixodes spinae. Ixodes spp.
Anthus vaalensis marugensis	$Hae maphy salis\ hoodi.$
T'IMALIIDAE.	
Turdoides jardinei tanganjicae	Ixodes spp.
SYLVIIDAE.	
$Camaroptera\ simplex\ katangae\ .\\$	Rhipicephalus spp.
Chloroptera natalensis major	$Rhipice phalus\ simpsoni.$
Cisticola chiniana fortis	Ixodes spp.
Cisticola natalensis katanga	Hacmaphysalis hoodi.
Cisticola rufilata ansorgei	Ixodes spp.
Melocichla mentalis grandis	Amblyomma nuttalli. Rhipicephalus spp.
$Schoenicola\ brevirostris\ alexinae\\$	Ixodes spp.
TURDIDAE.	
Alethe poliocephala ufipae	Ixodes spp.
Cossypha bocagei	Ixodes spp.
Erythropygia barbata barbata	Haemaphysalis muhsami.
Monticola gularis angolensis	Haemaphysalis hoodi.
Myrmecocichla arnotti leucolaema	Haemaphysalis hoodi.
Oenanthe pileata livingstonii	Haemaphysalis hoodi.
Turdus olivaceus bocagei	Haemaphysalis hoodi.
Turdus olivaceus stormsi	Amblyomma nuttalli. Amblyomma spp. Ixodes spp.
PLOCEIDAE.	
Coliuspasser macrourus macrourus	Haemaphysalis hoodi.
Textor xanthops xanthops	$Hae maphy salis\ hoodi.$

LIST OF LOCALITIES CITED

Buye-Bala, left tributary of the Muye and right sub-tributary of the Lufira: 1.750 m.

Ganza, salt marsh near the river Kamandula, right tributary of the Lukoka and left sub-tributary of the Lufira: $860~\mathrm{m}$.

Gorge of the Pelenge: 1.250-1.600 m.

Kabenga, near the Kaziba (ex P.N.U.): 1.240-1.300 m.

Kabulumba, chain of mountains between Mabwe and the Lufira, 22 km to the east of Mabwe: 987 m.

Kabwe, on the right bank of the Muye, right tributary of the Lufira: 1.320 m.

Kafwe River, right tributary of the Lufwa: 1.780 m.

Kalule-Nord, right tributary of the Lualaba (Mt. Kia) near Kiamalwa: 1.050 m.

Kalungwe, right tributary of the Senze and right sub-tributary of the Lufira: 800-1.700 m.

Kamitungulu, left tributary of the Lusinga and right sub-tributary of the Lufwa: 1.760 m.

Kamitunu, left tributary of the Lusinga and right sub-tributary of the Lufwa: 1.760-1.800 m.

Kankunda, left tributary of the Lupiala and right sub-tributary of the Lufira: 1.300 m.

Kanonga, right tributary of the Fungwe: 675, 695, 860 m.

Kaswabilenga, River Lufira: 680 m.

Kateke, tributary of the Muovwe and right sub-tributary of the Lufira: 960 m.

Katongo, left tributary of the Mubale and left sub-tributary of the Munte: 1.750 m.

Kaziba, left tributary of the Senze and right sub-tributary of the Lufira: 1.140 m.

Kenia, right tributary of the Lusinga and right sub-tributary of the Lufwa (ex P.N.U.); 1.585 m.

Kilwezi, right tributary of the Lufira: 700, 1.000, 1.400 m.

Kubaya, tributary of the Munte and right sub-tributary of the Lufira: 1.600 m.

Lufwa, right tributary of the Lufira, at the beginning of the source, near Lusinga (ex P.N.U.): $1.700~\mathrm{m}$.

Lukawe, right tributary of the Lufira: 700 m.

Lusinga: 1.760 m.

Mabwe, east shore of Lake Upemba: 585 m.

Masombwe, on the Grande-Kafwe (ex P.N.U.): 1.120 m.

Mubale, left tributary of the Munte right sub-tributary of the Lufira: 1.480-1.780 m.

Mukana, marsh near Lusinga: 1.810 m.

Munoi, bifurcation of the river Lupiala, right tributary of the Lufira: $890\ \mathrm{m}.$

Mware, left tributary of the Lufira: 700-950 m.

Road to Lusinga: 1.200 m.

REFERENCES

- ARTHUR, D. R., 1956, Six new species of *Ixodes* ticks from the Belgian Congo (Ixodoidea, Ixodoidea) (Rev. Zool. Bot. Afr., vol. 54, pp. 295-315).
- 1958, New species of *Ixodes* ticks from eastern Africa, with a description of the male and nymph of *Ixodes oldi* Nuttall, 1913 (*Parasitology*, vol. 48, pp. 38-60).
- ARTHUR, D. R. and BURROW, C., 1957, The *Ixodes rasus* group of African ticks with descriptions of four new species (*Ixodoidea*, *Ixodidae*) (*Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool.*, vol. 116, pp. 493-537).
- CLIFFORD, C. M. and LEWERS, D. T., 1960, A rapid method for clearing and mounting the genitalia of female ixodid ticks (J. Parasitol., vol. 46, p. 802).
- FELDMAN-MUHSAM, B., 1956, The value of the female genital aperture and the peristigmal hairs for specific diagnosis of the genus *Rhipicephalus (Bull. Res. Coun. of Israël*, vol. 5B, pp. 300-306).
- FRECHKOP, S., 1954, Exploration du Parc National de l'Upemba. Mission G. F. de Witte (1946-1949). Fasc. 14: Mammifères (Inst. des Parcs Nationaux du Congo Belge, Bruxelles).
- HOOGSTRAAL, H., 1954, Noteworthy African tick records in the British Museum (Natural History) collections (Ixodoidea) (Proc. Ent. Soc. Washington, vol. 56. pp. 273-279).
 - 1956, African Ixodoidea. I: Ticks of the Sudan (with special reference to Equatoria Province and with preliminary reviews of the genera Boophilus, Margaropus and Hyalomma) (1101 p., Washington, U.S. Navy).
 - 1958, Notes on African Haemaphysalis ticks. IV: Description of Egyptian populations of the yellow dog-ticks, H. leachii leachii (Audouin, 1827) (Ixodoidea, Ixodidae) (J. Parasitol., vol. 44, pp. 548-558).
- Koch, C. L., 1844, Systematische Ubersicht uber die Ordnung der Zecken (Arch. Naturgesch., 10, pt. 1, pp. 217-239).
- Morel, P. C., 1956, Tiques d'animaux [Le Parc national du Niokolo-Koba (1)]. [Inst. Franç. Afrique Noire, Mém. (48), pp. 229-232].
- 1958, Les tiques des animaux domestiques de l'Afrique occidentale française (Rev. Elevage et Méd. Vét. Pays Trop., n.s., vol. 11, pp. 153, 189).
- MOREL, P. C. and MOUCHET, J., 1958, Les tiques du Cameroun (Ixodidae et Argasidae) (Ann. Parasitol., vol. 33, 69-111).
- Santos Dias, J. A. T., 1950, Contribuição para o conhecimento da fauna ixodologica de Moçambique (*Docum. Moçambique*, no. 61, pp. 113-170).
 - 1953, Sobre uma nova subespécie de Rhipicephalus do «Grupo Capensis » ZUMPT:
 R. capensis pseudolongus n. ssp. (Mem. Mus. Zool. Univ. Coimbra, no. 214, pp. 1-15).

- Santos Dias, J. A. T., 1955, A propósito de uma colecção de carraças do Sudão Anglo-Egipcio (Bol. Soc. Estud. Moçambique, no. 92, pp. 103-118).
 - 1956a, Identification of a second small form of the African ticks of the «leachii group»: Haemaphysalis ethiopica n. sp. (Docum. Moçambique, no. 88, pp. 87-108).
 - 1956b, Sobre a verdadeira posição taxonomica de duos espécies ixodologicas da Africa Etiópeca (*Ibid.*, no. 87, pp. 1-38).
 - 1958, Notes on various ticks (Acarina-Ixodoidea) in collection at some entomological institutes in Paris and London (An. Inst. Med. Trop., vol. 15, pp. 459-563).
- Schoeners, F., 1951, Liste des tiques récoltées au cours d'un voyage d'études au Congo belge (Bull. Agric Congo Belge, vol. 42, pp. 117-122).
- Tendeiro, J., 1959, Sur quelques ixodides du Mozambique et de la Guinée Portugaise, 1 (Bol. Cult. Guine Portug., vol. 53, pp. 21-95).
- THEILER, G., 1945, Ticks in the South African zoological survey collection. Part III:

 The ornate Aponommas (Onderstepoort J. Vet. Sci., vol. 20, pp. 165-178).
- 1947, Ticks in the South African zoological survey collection. Part V: Little known African rhipicephalids (*Ibid.*, vol. 21, pp. 253-300).
- 1954, Tick survey. VIII: Checklists of ticks recorded from the Belgian Congo and Ruanda Urundi, from Angola, and from northern Rhodesia (Ibid., vol. 26, pp. 447-461).
- Theiler, G., Walker, J. B. and Wiley, A. J., 1956, Ticks in the South African zoological survey collection. Part VIII: Two East African ticks (*Ibid.*, vol. 27, pp. 83-99).
- THEILER, G. and SALISBURY, L. E., 1959, Ticks in the South African zoological survey collection. Part IX: The Amblyomma Marmoreum Group (*Ibid.*, vol. 28, pp. 47-124).
- Verheyen, R., 1953, Exploration du Parc National de l'Upemba. Mission G. F. de Witte (1946-1949). Fasc. 19: Oiseaux (Inst. des Parcs Nationaux du Congo Belge. Bruxelles).
- WITTE, G. F. (DE), 1953, Exploration du Parc National de l'Upemba. Mission G. F. DE WITTE (1946-1949). Fasc. 6: Reptiles (Idem, Bruxelles).
- WITTE, G. F. (DE), ADAM, A., JANSSENS, A., VAN MEEL, L. and VERHEYEN, R., (in preparation), Exploration du Parc National de l'Upemba. Mission G. F. DE WITTE (1946-1949). Fasc. 1: Introduction (Idem, Bruxelles).
- ZUMPT, F., 1942, Zur Kenntnis Afrikanischer Rhipicephalusarten. V: Vorstudie zu einer Revision der Gattung Rhipicephalus Koch (Ztschr. Parasitenk., vol. 12, pp. 479-500).
 - 1943a, Rhipicephalus simus Koch und verwandte Arten. VI: Vorstudie zu einer Revision der Gattung Rhipicephalus Koch (Ibid., vol. 13, pp. 1-24).
 - 1943b, Rhipicephalus aurantiacus Neumann and ähnliche Arten. VIII: Vorstudie zu einer Revision der Gattung Rhipicephalus Koch (Ibid., vol. 13, pp. 102-117).
 - 1950, Preliminary study to a revision of the genus Rhipicephalus Косн (Documentário Moçambique, no. 60, pp. 57-123).

INDEX OF SPECIES

	Pages
aulacodi Arthur $(Ixodes)$	13
bicornis Nuttall and Warburton (Rhipicentor)	16
cavipalpus Nuttall and Warburton (Ixodes)	13
compositus Neumann (Rhipicephalus)	16
dawesi Arthur (Ixodes)	13
decoloratus (KOCH) (Boophilus)	6
exornatum (Koch) (Aponomma)	8
hoodi Warburton and Nuttall (Haemaphysalis)	9
leachi (AUDOUIN) (Haemaphysalis)	10 17
masseyi Nuttall and Warburton (Rhipicephalus)	24
mushami Santos Dias (Haemaphysalis)	11
nairobiensis NUTTALL (Ixodes)	14 6
nuttalli Dönitz (Amblyomma)	
parmata NEUMANN (Haemaphysalis)	12 7
pomposum Dönitz $(Amblyomma)$	7 31
pseudorasus Arthur and Burrow (Ixodes)	14
reichenowi ZUMPT (Rhipicephalus)	25
sanguineus (LATREILLE) (Rhipicephalus)	26
simpsoni NUTTALL (Rhipicephalus)	27
$simus \; ext{Koch} \; (Rhipicephalus) \; \ldots \; $	27
spinae ARTHUR ($Ixodes$)	15
	7
tholloni Neumann $(Amblyomma)$	7 9
$transversale\ (Lucas)\ (Aponomma)\ \dots \dots$	29
riemanni Neumann (Rhinicenhalus)	30

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pages
NTRODUCTION •	3
AMILY IXODIDAE	6
Genus Boophilus	6
Genus Amblyomma	6
Genus <i>Aponomma</i>	8
Genus Haemaphysalis	9
Genus Ixodes	13
Genus Rhipicentor	16
Genus Rhipicephalus	16
MAP OF THE UPEMBA NATIONAL PARK.	
CLASSIFIED HOST LIST	35
LIST OF LOCALITIES CITED	40
REFERENCES	42
NDEX OF SPECIES	44
CABLE OF CONTENTS	45
PLATES	47